Executive Summary Report

Appraisal Date 1/1/2004 – 2004 Assessment Roll

Specialty Name: Warehouses

Sales - Improved Summary: Number of Sales: 32

Range of Sale Dates: 1/24/2001 – 7/7/2003

Sales – Ratio Study Summary:

	Mean Assessed Value	Mean Sale Price	Ratio	COV
2003 Value	\$14,276,500	\$15,038,700	94.9%	7.25%
2004 Value	\$14,414,500	\$15,038,700	95.8%	6.60%
Change	+ \$138,000		+.90%	-0.65%
%Change	+.01%		+0.1%	-0.09%

^{*}COV is a measure of uniformity, the lower the number the better the uniformity. The negative figures of -.65% and -0.09% actually represent an improvement.

Sales used in Analysis: All improved sales that are verified as fair market transactions were included in the analysis.

Population - Parcel Summary Data:

	Land	Imps	Total
2003 Value	\$854,166,496	\$1,650,868,560	\$2,505,035,056
2004 Value	\$878,340,300	\$1,651,543,360	\$2,529,883,660
Percent Change	+2.83%	+0.04%	+1.00%

Number of Parcels in the Population: 295

Conclusion and Recommendation:

Since the values recommended in this report improve uniformity, assessment level and equity, we recommend posting them for the 2004 Assessment Roll.

Analysis Process

Area Specialty

Specialty Area – 500-Warehouses

Highest and Best Use Analysis

As if vacant: Market analysis of this area, together with current zoning and current anticipated use patterns, indicate the highest and best use of the majority of the appraised parcels as commercial/industrial use. Any opinion not consistent with this is specifically noted in our records and considered in the valuation of the specific parcel.

As if improved: Based on neighborhood trends, both demographic and current development patterns, the existing buildings represent the highest and best use of most sites. The existing use will continue until land value, in its highest and best use, exceeds the sum of value of the entire property in its existing use and the cost to remove the improvements. We find that the current improvements do add value to the property, in most cases, and therefore are the highest and best use of the property as improved. In those properties where the property is not at its highest and best use a token value of \$1,000 is assigned to the improvements.

Special Assumptions, Departures and Limiting Conditions

All three approaches to value; market, cost, and income, were considered for this mass appraisal valuation. After the sales verification process, the appraiser concluded that the market participants typically consider an income approach to value.

The following Departmental guidelines were considered and adhered to:

- ♣ Sales from 1/2001 to 12/03 (minimum) were considered in all analyses.
- No market trends (market condition adjustments, time adjustments) were applied to sales prices. Models were developed without market trends. The utilization of three years of market information without time adjustments averaged any net changes over that time period.
- This report intends to meet the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, Standard 6.

Identification of the Area

Name or Designation: Specialty Area 500: Warehouses

This report contains data pertinent to the revalue of major warehouse facilities. Specialty Area 500 encompasses all distribution and storage as well as light industrial facilities with gross building areas greater than or equal to 100,000 square feet located in King County. It is divided into neighborhoods 25, 35, 45, 60, and 80.

Boundaries: The properties are located throughout King County.

Maps:

A general map of the area is included in this report. More detailed Assessor's maps are located on the 7th floor of the King County Administration Building.

Area Description:

The industrial areas of King County have several recognized submarkets; the close-in Seattle area, the Kent Valley, and the Eastside. The increasing scarcity of industrial land is continuing to impact development. This has long been the case for close-in properties that now face redevelopment pressure into retail, residential, and other uses. Outlying properties are facing environmental and political restrictions as development of large facilities continues to spread farther from the familiar core areas outward in all available directions to less costly land.

For this revalue period, the warehouse market continues to adjust to the increasing vacancy rates and falling lease rates. Capitalization rates have remained low in part due to the historically low interest rates. The result has been a relatively small change in overall assessed values.

Physically Inspected Neighborhood:

Specialty Area 500 Economic Neighborhood 35: Auburn, Pacific, Algona, Enumclaw, Federal Way, Des Moines, SeaTac, and Burien comprise the geographic locations for neighborhood 35. The variety of properties in this neighborhood is similar to that found for Economic Neighborhoods 25 and 45 described above. There are a large number of industrial parks offering a variety of available space for the particular needs of individual tenants, as well as many stand alone industrial concerns that have been built to individual specifications. Property types range from incubator space to major cold storage and distribution facilities as development has spiraled through the Kent Valley. This neighborhood is comprised of 45 parcels.

Preliminary Ratio Analysis

A preliminary ratio study was completed just prior to the application of the 2004 recommended values. This study benchmarks the current assessment level using 2003-posted values. The study was also repeated after application of the 2004 recommended values. The results are included in the validation section of this report, showing an improvement in the COV from 7.25% to 6.60%.

Scope of Data

Land Value Data:

The geographic appraiser in the area in which the specialty warehouse property is located is responsible for the land value used by the warehouse specialty appraiser. See appropriate area reports.

Improved Parcel Total Values:

Sales comparison approach model description

Sales information is obtained from excise tax affidavits and reviewed initially by the Accounting Division, Sales Identification Section. Information is analyzed and investigated by the appraiser in the process of revaluation. All sales are verified if possible by calling the purchaser or seller, inquiring in the field or calling the real estate agent. Characteristic data is verified for all sales if possible. Sales are listed in the "Sales Used" and "Sales Not Used" sections of this report. Additional information resides in the Assessor's procedure manual located in the Public Information area of the King County Administration Building.

Sales comparison calibration

Only those sales coded as verified "good" were considered in the process of this revalue. There are 32 improved sales, countywide. After an initial search for comparable sales within each geographic area, a search is made in neighboring areas and expanded to include all of King County if necessary.

Cost approach model description

Cost estimates are automatically calculated via the Marshall & Swift "black box" cost modeling system. Depreciation was based on studies done by Marshall & Swift Valuation Service. The cost is adjusted to the western region and the Seattle area. Marshall & Swift cost calculations are automatically calibrated to the data in place in the Real Property Application. Cost estimates serve as value indicators for new construction projects and are relied upon for special use properties where no income or market data exists.

Cost calibration

The Marshall & Swift cost modeling system built in to the Real Property Application is calibrated to the region and the Seattle area.

Income capitalization approach model description

The Income Approach to value was considered for all specialty warehouse properties this revalue. Income Tables were developed for each economic neighborhood in Specialty Area 500 for use in the department's commercial income capitalization program. They are broken down by neighborhood and the Marshall & Swift occupancy use codes. These tables are appended to the end of this report. The rates for rents, vacancy, expenses and capitalization were derived from the Assessor's records as well as published sources such as CB Commercial (cited below), Trammel Crow MarketScope, and the Puget Sound Business Journal. All rents are given as triple net, which is the norm for these types of properties. Those parcels that did not fit the income tables, due to excess land or locational influences were treated as exceptions and valued appropriately via one or more of the three approaches to value, Income, Market, or Cost.

Income approach calibration

The models were calibrated after setting base rents by using adjustments based on size, effective age, and construction quality as recorded in the Assessor's records. See the income tables for each neighborhood included in this report. Approximately 18% of the overall specialty warehouse population fell outside of the income model. There were various reasons for this, including new construction, land value unsupported by improvement income stream. There may have been other reasons as well. However, for each instance in which the income model was not used, documentation was placed in the notes section of the Real Property Application.

Reconciliation and or validation study of calibrated value models including ratio study of hold out samples.

All parcels are individually reviewed by the specialty appraiser for correctness of the model application before final value selection

Final value selects were reviewed by the Senior Appraisers before posting.

Model Validation

Total Value Conclusions, Recommendations and Validation:

Appraiser judgment prevails in all decisions regarding individual parcel valuation. Each parcel is field reviewed and a value selected based on general and specific data pertaining to the parcel, the neighborhood, and the market. The Appraiser determines which available value estimate may be appropriate and may adjust for particular characteristics and conditions as they occur in the valuation area.

The standard statistical measures of valuation performance are all within IAAO guidelines and are presented both in the Executive Summary and in the 2003 and 2004 Ratio Analysis charts included in this report.

The 2004 Ratio Study Analysis indicates that the standard statistical measure of valuation level at a Weighted Mean Ratio of 0.958 is well above the 0.90 IAAO lower limit guideline and an improvement from the Preliminary Ratio Study Analysis Weighted Mean Ratio of 0.949. The maximum IAAO limit for the COD for income properties (city) is 15%, and, after value selection, the COD improved from 5.97% to 5.31%. The measures for uniformity and equity all show improvement. The COV improved from 7.25% to 6.60%. The price-related differential (PRD) is recommended by the IAAO as a statistical measure of vertical inequity (these exist when assessment levels vary systematically with value levels). PRDs ranging from 0.98 to 1.03 are considered to demonstrate acceptable vertical equity. The PRD remained 1.01.

The Appraisal Specialist recommends application of the Appraiser selected values, as indicated by the appropriate model or method.

Application of these recommended values for the 2004 assessment year (taxes payable in 2005) results in an average total change from the 2003 assessments of +1.0%. The 2003 assessed values total \$2,505,035,056 whereas the 2004 recommended values total \$2,529,883,660. This increase is due partly to upward market changes over time and the previous assessment levels.

Area 500 - Warehouses 2004 Assessment Year Using 2003 Values

Quadrant/Crew:	Lien Date:	Date:	Sales Dates:
South Crew	1/1/2003	6/16/2004	1/24/01 - 7/07/03
Area	Appr ID:	Prop Type:	Trend used?: Y/N
500	JCOL	Improvement	N N
SAMPLE STATISTICS			
Sample size (n)	32		
Mean Assessed Value	14,276,500	H	Ratio Frequency
Mean Sales Price	15,038,700		
Standard Deviation AV	11,060,024		
Standard Deviation SP	11,656,168		[
		12 -	
ASSESSMENT LEVEL			
Arithmetic mean ratio	0.956	10 -	
Median Ratio	0.949	Axis Title-	
Weighted Mean Ratio	0.949	6 -	14
		∏ °	10
UNIFORMITY		4 -	8
Lowest ratio	0.8340	2 -	
Highest ratio:	1.0854		
Coeffient of Dispersion	5.97%	0 0.2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Standard Deviation	0.0693	0 0.2	
Coefficient of Variation	7.25%		Ratio
Price-related Differential	1.01		
RELIABILITY			
95% Confidence: Median			
Lower limit	0.917		
Upper limit	1.001	These figures	s reflect the 2003 assessed values
95% Confidence: Mean		_	the listed sales.
Lower limit	0.932	compared to	ine nated sales.
Upper limit	0.980		
SAMPLE SIZE EVALUATION			
N (population size)	274		
B (acceptable error - in decimal)	0.05		
S (estimated from this sample)	0.0693		
Recommended minimum:	7		
Actual sample size:	32		
Conclusion:	OK OK		
NORMALITY	310		
Binomial Test			
# ratios below mean:	17		
# ratios below mean:	15		
Z:	0.176776695		
Conclusion:	Normal*		
*i.e., no evidence of non-normality			

Area 500 - Warehouses 2004 Assessment Year Using 2004 Values

Quadrant/Crew:	Lien Date:	Date:	Sales Dates:	
South Crew	1/1/2004	6/16/2004	1/24/01 - 07/7/03	
Area	Appr ID:	Prop Type:	Trend used?: Y/N	
500	JCOL	Improvement	N	
SAMPLE STATISTICS		-		
Sample size (n)	32			
Mean Assessed Value	14,414,500		Ratio Frequency	
Mean Sales Price	15,038,700			
Standard Deviation AV	11,184,645	16	_	
Standard Deviation SP	11,656,168	14 -		
A COECCMENT I EVE		12 -		
ASSESSMENT LEVEL	0.004	10 -	_ -	
Arithmetic mean ratio	0.964	∐		
Median Ratio		Axis TitRe-	<mark>15</mark>	
Weighted Mean Ratio	0.958	6 -		
UNIFORMITY		4 -	10	
Lowest ratio	0.8494	 		
Highest ratio:	1.0854	- 1		
Coeffient of Dispersion	5.31%		. 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . • • • • • • • 	
Standard Deviation	0.0636	0 0.2	0.4 0.6 0.8 1 1.2 1.4	
Coefficient of Variation	6.60%	H	Ratio	
Price-related Differential	1.01	H		
RELIABILITY	1.01	L		
95% Confidence: Median				
Lower limit	0.924			
Upper limit	1.001		f	
95% Confidence: Mean	1.001	These ligures	s reflect the recommended 2004	
Lower limit	0.942	assessed values compared to the listed sales.		
Upper limit	0.986			
SAMPLE SIZE EVALUATION				
N (population size)	274			
B (acceptable error - in decimal)	0.05			
S (estimated from this sample)	0.0636			
Recommended minimum:	6			
Actual sample size:	32			
Conclusion:	OK			
NORMALITY				
Binomial Test				
# ratios below mean:	15			
# ratios above mean:	17			
Z:	0.176776695			
Conclusion:	Normal*			
*i.e., no evidence of non-normality	1			

Improvement Sales for Area 500 with Sales Used

				Total	•		Sale	SP/			Par
Area	Nbhd	Major	Minor	NRA	E#	Sale Price	Date	NRA	Property Name	Zone	. Ct.
500	025	214610	0033	110,320	1801124	\$5,270,000	01/24/01	\$47.77		IM	1
500	045	022204	9012	276,330	1817722	\$11,000,000	05/07/01	\$39.81	WAREHOUSE/DISTRIBUTION	M1	2
500	045	122204	9093	343,798	1821102	\$20,000,000	06/01/01	\$58.17	KENT VALLEY BUSINESS CENTER	M1	1
500	035	362204	9004	115,400	1826352	\$8,098,000	06/14/01	\$70.17	TOYSMITH	M1	1
500	045	619540	0070	117,620	1826356	\$5,796,100	06/15/01	\$49.28	VAN DOREN'S LANDING #3	MI	1
500	045	000620	0026	270,772	1839082	\$12,144,600	08/28/01	\$44.85	WDS II WHSE	M1	1
500	025	362304	9085	98,770	1839097	\$5,050,000	08/29/01	\$51.13	DISTRIBUTION WAREHOUSE	M2	1
500	035	664960	0030	133,900	1843541	\$7,243,344	09/25/01	\$54.10	DISTRIBUTION WHSE	M1	1
500	035	030151	0240	187,750	1848606	\$8,200,000	10/24/01	\$43.68	GOODYEAR	M1	1
500	045	072205	9024	248,792	1858758	\$13,981,000	12/19/01	\$56.20	KENT EAST CORPORATE PARK	GWC	4
500	045	775780	0180	508,628	1858764	\$27,019,000	12/19/01	\$53.12	KENT EAST CORP PARK	M2	1
500	045	775980	0150	170,000	1859592	\$9,450,000	12/27/01	\$55.59	MILL CREEK DISTRIBUTION CENTER I	M3	1
500	035	000460	0042	1,101,404	1865740	\$54,150,000	01/31/02	\$49.16	VALLEY CENTRE CORPORATE PARK	M1	3
500	045	012204	9045	960,300	1868749	\$28,250,000	02/20/02	\$29.42	VALLEY INDUSTRIAL PARK	M2	2
500	035	232973	0800	201,170	1875995	\$9,778,531	03/28/02	\$48.61	EMERALD CORPORATE PARK-BLDG C	M1	1
500	025	214600	0010	136,800	1889825	\$5,886,000	05/24/02	\$43.03	NORTHWEST CORP.PARK -EARLINGTON	IM	1
500	025	362304	9001	1,044,839	1889826	\$40,309,000	05/24/02	\$38.58	NORTHWEST CORPORATE PARK RENTON	IM	3
500	025	362304	9005	147,410	1894123	\$5,250,000	06/26/02	\$35.61	MANNESMANN TALLY CORP	M1	1
500	035	232973	0040	241,430	1899698	\$10,000,000	07/23/02	\$41.42	EMERALD CORPORATE PARK - BLDG D	M1	1
500	035	132104	9019	283,450	1918857	\$14,600,000	10/30/02	\$51.51	AUBURN 18 DISTRIBUTION CENTER	M1	1
500	025	125380	0170	132,762	1926549	\$8,375,000	12/09/02	\$63.08	VALLEY INDUSTRIAL BLDG	IM	1
500	035	030151	0130	218,316	1929362	\$7,647,500	12/18/02	\$35.03	WAREHOUSE/INDUSTRIAL BUILDING	M1	1
500	035	080000	0012	273,895	1928999	\$16,000,000	12/20/02	\$58.42	AUBURN ITC	M1	1
500	045	132204	9139	428,410	1928998	\$22,464,000	12/20/02	\$52.44	KENT 228TH INDUSTRIAL PARK	M3	4
500	025	334040	5300	441,751	1930952	\$23,297,000	12/30/02	\$52.74	RENTON PARK 405	IM	1
500	035	158260	0065	386,108	1930945	\$20,418,000	12/30/02	\$52.88	BENAROYA BUSINESS PARK - AUBURN	M1	2
500	045	000620	0002	692,484	1930958	\$37,328,000	12/30/02	\$53.90	VAN DOREN'S WEST (BENAROYA)	M1	1
500	045	132204	9013	251,680	1946608	\$13,000,000	03/21/03	\$51.65	PARK 234	M1	1
500	060	395890	0851	100,780	1946622	\$5,650,000	03/24/03	\$56.06	WAREHOUSE/DISTRIBUTION	IG1U/85	1
500	035	242104	9082	198,530	1964411	\$8,586,197	06/09/03	\$43.25	ALGONA DISTRIBUTION CENTER -B	M1	1
500	045	142204	9044	192,247	1964423	\$10,250,000	06/09/03	\$53.32	WAREHOUSE	MI	1
500	045	142204	9069	107,096	1970970	\$6,746,434	07/07/03	\$62.99	VAN DOREN'S CENTER	M1	1